AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 6, 2015 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 15, 2015

SENATE BILL No. 660

Introduced by-Senator Senators Leno and Hueso

February 27, 2015

An act to amend Sections 305, 307, 308, 309.6, 1701.1, 1701.2, 1701.3, and 1701.4 of, and to add—Section Sections 305.5 and 1701.6 to, the Public Utilities Code, relating to the Public Utilities Commission.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 660, as amended, Hueso Leno. Public Utilities-Commission: proceedings: ex parte communications. Commission.

-The

(1) The California Constitution establishes the Public Utilities Commission, with jurisdiction over all public utilities. The California Constitution authorizes the commission to establish rules for all public utilities, subject to control by the Legislature, and to establish its own procedures, subject to statutory limitations or directions and constitutional requirements of due process. grants the commission certain general powers over all public utilities, subject to control by the Legislature, and authorizes the Legislature, unlimited by the other provisions of the California Constitution, to confer additional authority and jurisdiction upon the commission that is cognate and germane to the regulation of public utilities. Existing law requires the Governor to designate the president of the commission from among its members and requires the president to direct the executive director, the attorney, and other staff of the commission, except for the Office of Ratepayer Advocates. Existing law authorizes the executive director and the attorney to undertake certain actions if directed or authorized by the SB 660 —2—

president, except as otherwise directed or authorized by vote of the commission.

This bill would repeal the requirement that the president direct the executive director, the attorney, and other commission staff. The bill would delete the authority of the president to direct or authorize the executive director and attorney to undertake certain actions, and would instead require that they be directed or authorized to undertake those actions by the commission. The bill would authorize the commission to delegate specific management and internal oversight functions to committees composed of 2 commissioners. The bill would require the commission to vote in an open meeting on the assignment or reassignment of proceeding to one or more commissioners.

(2) Existing law requires the commission, upon initiating a hearing, to assign one or more commissioners to oversee the case and an administrative law judge, where appropriate. Existing law requires the assigned commissioner to prepare and issue, by order or ruling, a scoping memo that describes the issues to be considered and the applicable timetable for resolution. Existing law requires the commission, to adopt procedures on the disqualification of administrative law judges due to bias or prejudice similar to those of other state agencies and superior courts.

This bill would require the commission to additionally adopt procedures on disqualification of commissioners due to bias or prejudice similar to those of other state agencies and superior courts. For ratesetting or adjudicatory proceedings, the bill would require a commissioner or an administrative law judge to be disqualified if there is an appearance of bias or prejudice based on specified criteria. The bill would prohibit commission procedures from authorizing a commissioner or administrative law judge from ruling on a motion made by a party to a proceeding to disqualify the commissioner or administrative law judge due to bias or prejudice.

-The

(3) The Public Utilities Act requires the commission to determine whether a proceeding requires a hearing and, if so, to determine whether the matter requires a quasi-legislative, an adjudication, or a ratesetting hearing. For these purposes, quasi-legislative cases are cases that establish policy rulemakings and investigations, which may establish rules affecting an entire industry, adjudication cases are enforcement cases and complaints, except those challenging the reasonableness of any rates or charges, and ratesetting cases are cases in which rates are

-3- SB 660

established for a specific company, including general rate cases, performance-based ratemaking, and other ratesetting mechanisms. Existing law requires the commission, upon initiating a hearing, to assign one or more commissioners to oversee the case and an administrative law judge, where appropriate. The act regulates communications in hearings before the commission and defines "ex parte communication" to mean any oral or written communication between a decisionmaker and a person with an interest in a matter before the commission concerning substantive, but not procedural, issues that does not occur in a public hearing, workshop, or other public proceeding, or on the official record of the proceeding on the matter. Existing law defines "person with an interest" to mean, among other things, a person with a financial interest in a matter before the commission, or an agent or employee of the person with a financial interest, or a person receiving consideration for representing the person with a financial interest. Existing law requires the commission, by regulation, to adopt and publish a definition of the terms "decisionmaker" and "persons" for those purposes, along with any requirements for written reporting of ex parte communications and appropriate sanctions for noncompliance with any rule proscribing ex parte communications. The act provides that ex parte communications are prohibited in adjudication cases and are prohibited in ratesetting cases, with certain exceptions. The act requires that ex parte communications be permitted in quasi-legislative cases, without any restrictions. The commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure define a "decisionmaker" as any commissioner, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, any Assistant Chief Administrative Law Judge, the assigned administrative law judge, or the Law and Motion Administrative Law Judge. The Rules of Practice and Procedure provide that communications with a commissioners' personal advisors are subject to all of the restrictions on, and reporting requirements applicable to, ex parte communications, except that oral communications with an advisor in ratesetting proceedings are permitted without the restrictions.

This bill would delete the provision that an ex parte communication concerns a substantive, but not a procedural matter, and instead would provide that an ex parte communication concerns any matter that the commission has not specified as being a procedural matter that is an appropriate subject for ex parte communication. The bill would require the commission to specify those procedural matters that are appropriate subjects for ex parte communications in its Rules of Practice and Procedure. The bill would define a person involved in issuing credit

SB 660 —4—

ratings or advising entities or persons who may invest in the shares or operations of any party to a proceeding as a person with a financial interest. The bill would require that the commission, by rule, adopt and publish a definition of decisionmakers, that would be required to include commissioners, each advisor to a commissioner, and an administrative law judge assigned to the proceeding, thereby making the restrictions on ex parte communications applicable to an advisor to a commissioner in a ratesetting proceeding. each commissioner, the attorney for the commission, the executive director of the commission, the personal staff of each commissioner, including each advisor to a commissioner, the administrative law judge assigned to the proceeding, the director of the Energy Division, the director of the Communications Division, the director of the Water and Audits Division, and the director of the Safety and Enforcement Division. The bill would require communications between a person with an interest who is not a party to a commission proceeding and a decisionmaker to be reported by the decisionmaker but would not require the communications to be reported by the person with an interest who is not a party to a commission proceeding.

This bill would require that a decisionmaker who makes or receives a prohibited ex parte communication, or who learns that a permissible ex parte communication was not reported as required, to disclose the content of the communication in the record of the proceeding. The bill would require the commission to establish rules for how to handle prohibited ex parte communications, including rules requiring reporting the person initiating the communication and whether the person persisted in continuing the communication after being advised that the communication was prohibited. The bill would require that an ex parte communication not be part of the record of any proceeding and not be considered, or relied upon, for purposes of the commission's resolution of contested issues.

This bill would provide that ex parte communications are permitted in quasi-legislative proceedings, but would require that they be reported within 3 working days of the communication by filing a "Notice of Ex Parte Communication" with the commission in accordance with procedures established by the commission for the service of that notice.

This bill would require the commission to additionally prohibit communications concerning procedural issues in adjudication cases between parties or persons with an interest and decisionmakers, except for the assigned administrative law judge. _5_ SB 660

The exceptions to the prohibition upon ex parte communications in ratesetting proceedings authorize a commissioner to permit oral ex parte communications if all interested parties are invited and given not less than 3 days' notice. If an ex parte communication meeting is granted to any party, it is required that all other parties also be granted individual ex parte meetings of a substantially equal period of time and that all parties be sent a notice of that authorization at the time the request is granted, at least 3 days prior to the meeting. The exceptions authorize a commissioner to permit written ex parte communications by any party provided that copies of the communication are transmitted to all parties.

This bill would delete the requirement that if an ex parte communication meeting is granted to any party, party in a ratesetting proceeding, that all other parties also be granted individual ex parte meetings of a substantially equal period of time and that all parties be sent a notice of that authorization at the time the request is granted, at least 3 days prior to the meeting. The bill would prohibit oral communications concerning procedural issues in ratesetting cases between parties or persons with an interest and decisionmakers other than the assigned administrative law judge, except that a commissioner would be authorized to permit an oral communication relative to procedural issues if all interested parties are invited and given not less than 3 days' notice. The bill would prohibit written ex parte communications concerning procedural issues in ratesetting cases between parties or persons with an interest and decisionmakers other than the assigned administrative law judge, except that a commissioner would be authorized to permit a written communication relative to procedural issues by any party provided that copies of the communication are transmitted to all parties on the same day.

This bill would make any violation of the ex parte communications requirements by any person punishable by an unspecified fine or by imprisonment, or by both that fine and imprisonment, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program by creating new crimes.

Under existing law, a violation of the Public Utilities Act or any order, decision, rule, direction, demand, or requirement of the commission is a crime.

Because the provisions of this bill would be a part of the act and because a violation of an order or decision of the commission implementing its requirements would be a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program by expanding the application of a crime.

SB 660 —6—

3

4

7

9

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 305 of the Public Utilities Code is 2 amended to read:

305. The Governor shall designate a president of the commission from among the members of the commission. The president shall direct the executive director, the attorney, and other staff of the commission, except for the staff of the division described in Section 309.5, in the performance of their duties, in accordance with commission policies and guidelines. The president shall preside at all meetings and sessions of the commission.

10 SEC. 2. Section 305.5 is added to the Public Utilities Code, to 11 read:

- 305.5. (a) The commission shall direct the executive director, the attorney, and other staff of the commission, except for the staff of the Office of Ratepayer Advocates described in Section 309.5, in performance of their duties.
- (b) The commission may delegate specific management and internal oversight functions to committees composed of two commissioners. Committees shall meet regularly with staff and shall report to the commission for additional guidance or approval of decisions pertaining to the operations of the commission.
- (c) The commission shall vote in an open meeting on the assignment or reassignment of any proceeding to one or more commissioners.
- 24 SEC. 3. Section 307 of the Public Utilities Code is amended 25 to read:
- 26 307. (a) The commission may appoint as attorney to the commission an attorney at law of this state, who shall hold office during the pleasure of the commission.
- 29 (b) The attorney shall represent and appear for the people of the 30 State of California and the commission in all actions and

7 SB 660

proceedings involving any question under this part or under any order or act of the commission. If directed to do so by the president, except as otherwise directed by vote of the commission, the attorney shall intervene, if possible, in any action or proceeding in which any such question is involved.

- (c) The attorney shall commence, prosecute, and expedite the final determination of all actions and proceedings directed or authorized by the president, except as otherwise directed or authorized by vote of the commission, advise the commission and each commissioner, when so requested, in regard to all matters in connection with the powers and duties of the commission and the members thereof, and generally perform all duties and services as attorney to the commission that the president, or vote of the commission, commission may require of him. him or her.
- SEC. 4. Section 308 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:
- 308. (a) The commission shall appoint an executive director, who shall hold office during its pleasure. The executive director shall be responsible for the commission's executive and administrative duties and shall organize, coordinate, supervise, and direct the operations and affairs of the commission and expedite all matters within the commission's jurisdiction.
- (b) The executive director shall keep a full and true record of all proceedings of the commission, issue all necessary process, writs, warrants, and notices, and perform—such any other duties as the president, or vote of the commission, prescribes. The president commission prescribes. The commission may authorize the executive director to dismiss complaints or applications when all parties are in agreement thereto, in accordance with rules that the commission may prescribe.
- (c) The commission may appoint assistant executive directors who may serve warrants and other process in any county or city and county of this state.
- SEC. 5. Section 309.6 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:
- 309.6. (a) The commission shall adopt procedures on the disqualification of *commissioners and* administrative law judges due to bias or prejudice similar to those of other state agencies and superior courts.

SB 660 —8—

(b) (1) For ratesetting and adjudicatory proceedings, a commissioner or administrative law judge shall be disqualified if there is an appearance of bias or prejudice based on any of the following:

- (A) Actions taken during the proceeding.
- (B) Private communications before the commencement of the proceeding to influence the request for relief sought by any party to the proceeding.
- (C) Actions demonstrating any commitment to provide relief to a party.
- (2) Past work experience by the commissioner or administrative law judge shall not be a sufficient basis for demonstrating an appearance of bias or prejudice pursuant to paragraph (1).
- (c) The commission procedures shall not authorize a commissioner or administrative law judge to rule on a motion made by a party to a proceeding to disqualify the commissioner or administrative law judge due to bias or prejudice.

18 (b

(d) The commission shall develop the procedures with the opportunity for public review and comment.

SECTION 1.

- *SEC.* 6. Section 1701.1 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:
- 1701.1. (a) The commission, consistent with due process, public policy, and statutory requirements, shall determine whether a proceeding requires a hearing. The commission shall determine whether the matter requires a quasi-legislative, an adjudication, or a ratesetting hearing. The commission's decision as to the nature of the proceeding shall be subject to a request for rehearing within 10 days of the date of that decision. If that decision is not appealed to the commission within that time period it shall not be subsequently subject to judicial review. Only those parties who have requested a rehearing within that time period shall subsequently have standing for judicial review and that review shall only be available at the conclusion of the proceeding. The commission shall render its decision regarding the rehearing within 30 days. The commission shall establish rules regarding ex parte communication on case categorization issues.
- (b) The commission upon initiating a hearing shall assign one or more commissioners to oversee the case and an administrative

-9- SB 660

law judge where appropriate. The assigned commissioner shall schedule a prehearing conference. The assigned commissioner shall prepare and issue by order or ruling a scoping memo that describes the issues to be considered and the applicable timetable for resolution.

- (c) (1) Quasi-legislative cases, for purposes of this article, are cases that establish policy, including, but not limited to, rulemakings and investigations which may establish rules affecting an entire industry.
- (2) Adjudication cases, for purposes of this article, are enforcement cases and complaints except those challenging the reasonableness of any rates or charges as specified in Section 1702.
- (3) Ratesetting cases, for purposes of this article, are cases in which rates are established for a specific company, including, but not limited to, general rate cases, performance-based ratemaking, and other ratesetting mechanisms.
- (d) (1) "Ex parte communication," for purposes of this article, means any oral or written communication between a decisionmaker and a person with an interest in a matter before the commission concerning substantive, but not procedural issues, any matter that the commission has not specified as being a procedural matter that is an appropriate subject for ex parte communication, that does not occur in a public hearing, workshop, or other public proceeding, or on the official record of the proceeding on the matter. The commission shall specify those procedural matters that are appropriate subjects for ex parte communications in its Rules of Practice and Procedure. "Person with an interest," for purposes of this article, means any of the following:
- (A) Any applicant, an agent or an employee of the applicant, or a person receiving consideration for representing the applicant, or a participant in the proceeding on any matter before the commission.
- (B) Any person with a financial interest, as described in Article 1 (commencing with Section 87100) of Chapter 7 of Title 9 of the Government Code, in a matter before the commission, or an agent or employee of the person with a financial interest, or a person receiving consideration for representing the person with a financial interest. A person involved in issuing credit ratings or advising entities or persons who may invest in the shares or operations of any party to a proceeding is a person with a financial interest.

SB 660 — 10 —

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

(C) A representative acting on behalf of any civic, environmental, neighborhood, business, labor, trade, or similar organization who intends to influence the decision of a commission member on a matter before the commission.

- (2) The commission shall by rule adopt and publish a definition of decisionmakers and persons for purposes of this section, along with any requirements for written reporting of ex parte communications and appropriate sanctions for noncompliance with any rule proscribing ex parte communications. The definition of decisionmakers shall include, but is not limited to, each commissioner, the attorney for the commission, the executive director of the commission, the personal staff of each commissioner, including each advisor to a commissioner, the administrative law judge assigned to the proceeding, the director of the Energy Division, the director of the Communications Division, the director of the Water and Audits Division, and the director of the Safety and Enforcement Division. The rules shall provide that reportable communications shall be reported by the party, whether the communication was initiated by the party or the decisionmaker. The definition of decisionmakers shall include, but is not limited to, commissioners, each advisor to a commissioner appointed pursuant to Section 309.1, and an administrative law judge assigned to the proceeding. However, communications between a person with an interest who is not a party to a commission proceeding and a decisionmaker shall be reported by the decisionmaker in accordance with procedures established pursuant to this section and shall not be required to be reported by the person with an interest who is not a party to a commission proceeding. Communications shall be reported within three working days of the communication by filing a "Notice of Ex Parte Communication" with the commission in accordance with the procedures established by the commission for the service of that notice. The notice shall include the following information:
- (A) The date, time, and location of the communication, whether it was oral, written, or a combination, and the communications medium utilized.
- (B) The identity of the recipient and the person initiating the communication, as well as the identity of any persons present during the communication.

-11- SB 660

(C) A description of the party's, but not the decisionmaker's, communication and its content, to which shall be attached a copy of any written material or text used during the communication.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- (3) Any decisionmaker who makes or receives a prohibited ex parte communication, or who learns that a permissible ex parte communication was not reported pursuant to paragraph (2), shall disclose the content of the communication in the record of the proceeding. The commission shall establish rules for how to handle prohibited ex parte communications, including rules requiring reporting the person initiating the communication and whether the person persisted in continuing the communication after being advised that the communication was prohibited.
- (4) An ex parte communication shall not be part of the record of any proceeding and shall not be considered, or relied upon, for purposes of the commission's resolution of contested issues.
- SEC. 7. Section 1701.2 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

1701.2. (a) If the commission pursuant to Section 1701.1 has determined that an adjudication case requires a hearing, the procedures prescribed by this section shall be applicable. The assigned commissioner or the assigned administrative law judge shall hear the case in the manner described in the scoping memo. The scoping memo shall designate whether the assigned commissioner or the assigned administrative law judge shall preside in the case. The commission shall provide by rule for peremptory challenges and challenges for cause of the administrative law judge. Challenges for cause shall include, but not be limited to, financial interests and prejudice. The rule shall provide that all parties are entitled to one peremptory challenge of the assignment of the administrative law judge in all cases. All parties are entitled to unlimited peremptory challenges in any case in which the administrative law judge has within the previous 12 months served in any capacity in an advocacy position at the commission, been employed by a regulated public utility, or has represented a party or has been a party of interest in the case. The assigned commissioner or the administrative law judge shall prepare and file a decision setting forth recommendations, findings, and conclusions. The decision shall be filed with the commission and served upon all parties to the action or proceeding without undue delay, not later than 60 days after the matter has been submitted

SB 660 — 12 —

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

for decision. The decision of the assigned commissioner or the 2 administrative law judge shall become the decision of the 3 commission if no further action is taken within 30 days. Any 4 interested party may appeal the decision to the commission, 5 provided that the appeal is made within 30 days of the issuance of 6 the decision. The commission may itself initiate a review of the 7 proposed decision on any grounds. The commission decision shall 8 be based on the record developed by the assigned commissioner or the administrative law judge. A decision different from that of 10 the assigned commissioner or the administrative law judge shall be accompanied by a written explanation of each of the changes 11 12 made to the decision.

- (b) Notwithstanding Section 307, an officer, employee, or agent of the commission that is personally involved in the prosecution or in the supervision of the prosecution of an adjudication case before the commission shall not participate in the decision of the case, or in the decision of any factually related adjudicatory proceeding, including participation in or advising the commission as to findings of fact, conclusions of law, or orders. An officer, employee, or agent of the commission that is personally involved in the prosecution or in the supervision of the prosecution of an adjudication case may participate in reaching a settlement of the case, but shall not participate in the decision of the commission to accept or reject the settlement, except as a witness or counsel in an open hearing or a hearing closed pursuant to subdivision (d). The Legislature finds that the commission performs both prosecutorial and adjudicatory functions in an adjudication case and declares its intent that an officer, employee, or agent of the commission, including its attorneys, may perform only one of those functions in any adjudication case or factually related adjudicatory proceeding.
- (c) (1) Ex parte communications shall be prohibited in adjudication cases.
- (2) Any oral or written communications concerning procedural issues in adjudication cases between parties or persons with an interest and decisionmakers, except the assigned administrative law judge, shall be prohibited.
- (d) Notwithstanding any other law, the commission may meet in a closed hearing to consider the decision that is being appealed.

-13- SB 660

The vote on the appeal shall be in a public meeting and shall be accompanied with an explanation of the appeal decision.

- (e) Adjudication cases shall be resolved within 12 months of initiation unless the commission makes findings why that deadline cannot be met and issues an order extending that deadline. In the event that a rehearing of an adjudication case is granted, the parties shall have an opportunity for final oral argument.
- (f) (1) The commission may determine that the respondent lacks, or may lack, the ability to pay potential penalties or fines or to pay restitution that may be ordered by the commission.
- (2) If the commission determines that a respondent lacks, or may lack, the ability to pay, the commission may order the respondent to demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the commission, sufficient ability to pay potential penalties, fines, or restitution that may be ordered by the commission. The respondent shall demonstrate the ability to pay, or make other financial arrangements satisfactory to the commission, within seven days of the commission commencing an adjudication case. The commission may delegate to the attorney to the commission the determination of whether a sufficient showing has been made by the respondent of an ability to pay.
- (3) Within seven days of the commission's determination of the respondent's ability to pay potential penalties, fines, or restitution, the respondent shall be entitled to an impartial review by an administrative law judge of the sufficiency of the showing made by the respondent of the respondent's ability to pay. The review by an administrative law judge of the ability of the respondent to pay shall become part of the record of the adjudication and is subject to the commission's consideration in its order resolving the adjudication case. The administrative law judge may enter temporary orders modifying any financial requirement made of the respondent pending the review by the administrative law judge.
- (4) A respondent that is a public utility regulated under a rate of return or rate of margin regulatory structure or that has gross annual revenues of more than one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000) generated within California is presumed to be able to pay potential penalties or fines or to pay restitution that may be ordered by the commission, and, therefore, paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, do not apply to that respondent.

SB 660 —14—

SEC. 2.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40 SEC. 8. Section 1701.3 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

1701.3. (a) If the commission pursuant to Section 1701.1 has determined that a ratesetting case requires a hearing, the procedures prescribed by this section shall be applicable. The assigned commissioner shall determine prior to the first hearing whether the commissioner or the assigned administrative law judge shall be designated as the principal hearing officer. The principal hearing officer shall be present for more than one-half of the hearing days. The decision of the principal hearing officer shall be the proposed decision. An alternate decision may be issued by the assigned commissioner or the assigned administrative law judge who is not the principal hearing officer. The commission shall establish a procedure for any party to request the presence of a commissioner at a hearing. The assigned commissioner shall be present at the closing arguments of the case. The principal hearing officer shall present the proposed decision to the full commission in a public meeting. The alternate decision, if any, shall also be presented to the full commission at that public meeting. The alternate decision shall be filed with the commission and shall be served on all parties simultaneously with the proposed decision.

The presentation to the full commission shall contain a record of the number of days of the hearing, the number of days that each commissioner was present, and whether the decision was completed on time.

- (b) The commission shall provide by regulation for peremptory challenges and challenges for cause of the administrative law judge. Challenges for cause shall include, but not be limited to, financial interests and prejudice. All parties shall be entitled to unlimited peremptory challenges in any case in which the administrative law judge has within the previous 12 months served in any capacity in an advocacy position at the commission, been employed by a regulated public utility, or has represented a party or has been a party of interest in the case.
- (c) (1) Ex parte communications are prohibited in ratesetting cases. However, oral ex parte communications may be permitted at any time by any commissioner if all interested parties are invited and given not less than three days' notice. Written ex parte communications may be permitted by any party provided that

15 SB 660

copies of the communication are transmitted to all parties on the same day.

- (2) Oral communications concerning procedural issues in ratesetting cases between parties or persons with an interest and decisionmakers, except the assigned administrative law judge, are prohibited, except that an oral communication may be permitted at any time by any commissioner if all interested parties are invited and given not less than three days' notice.
- (3) Written communications concerning procedural issues in ratesetting cases between parties or persons with an interest and decisionmakers, except the assigned administrative law judge, are prohibited, except that a commissioner may permit a written communication by any party if copies of the communication are transmitted to all parties on the same day.
- (d) Any party has the right to present a final oral argument of its case before the commission. Those requests shall be scheduled in a timely manner. A quorum of the commission shall be present for the final oral arguments.
- (e) The commission may, in issuing its decision, adopt, modify, or set aside the proposed decision or any part of the decision based on evidence in the record. The final decision of the commission shall be issued not later than 60 days after the issuance of the proposed decision. Under extraordinary circumstances the commission may extend this date for a reasonable period. The 60-day period shall be extended for 30 days if any alternate decision is proposed pursuant to Section 311.

SEC. 3.

- *SEC.* 9. Section 1701.4 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:
- 1701.4. (a) If the commission pursuant to Section 1701.1 has determined that a quasi-legislative case requires a hearing, the procedures prescribed by this section shall be applicable. The assigned administrative law judge shall act as an assistant to the assigned commissioner in quasi-legislative cases. The assigned commissioner shall be present for formal hearings. The assigned commissioner shall prepare the proposed rule or order with the assistance of the administrative law judge. The assigned commissioner shall present the proposed rule or order to the full commission in a public meeting. The report shall include the

SB 660 —16—

1 number of days of hearing and the number of days that the 2 commissioner was present.

- (b) Ex parte communications shall be permitted. Any ex parte communication shall be reported within three working days of the communication by filing a "Notice of Ex Parte Communication" with the commission in accordance with procedures established by the commission for the service of that notice.
- (c) Any party has the right to present a final oral argument of its case before the commission. Those requests shall be scheduled in a timely manner. A quorum of the commission shall be present for the final oral arguments.
- (d) The commission may, in issuing its rule or order, adopt, modify, or set aside the proposed decision or any part of the rule or order. The final rule or order of the commission shall be issued not later than 60 days after the issuance of the proposed rule or order. Under extraordinary circumstances the commission may extend this date for a reasonable period. The 60-day period shall be extended for 30 days if any alternate rule or order is proposed pursuant to Section 311.

SEC. 4.

- SEC. 10 Section 1701.6 is added to the Public Utilities Code, to read:
- 1701.6. A violation of the ex parte communications requirements of this article by any person is punishable by a fine not to exceed _____, or by imprisonment, or by both that fine and imprisonment.

SEC. 5.

SEC. 11. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution.